

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Buc 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,283	04/22/2004	Tsutomu lde	252187US2	7250
22850	7590 05/18/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			RESAN, STEVAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1773	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Be-

	A	Annlinent(a)				
	Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)				
	10/829,283	IDE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stevan A. Resan	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of th eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • •	NED 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-2-2004.</li> </ol>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/829,283 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1773** 

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Katsumi et al JP 06-052541.

Katsumi et al disclose a magnetic recording medium having a non magnetic layer and a magnetic layer sequentially laminated on one surface

A 111 11 4770

**Art Unit: 1773** 

of a non-magnetic substrate wherein the magnetic layer contains a magnetic powder, a binder resin and an abrasive. The average height of protrusion of the abrasive from the surface of the magnetic layer measured by AFM is in the range of 15 nm or less which includes the range of 7.0 to 15.0 nm as in claim 1. [0007], [0026], Examples.

Nevertheless it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the surface properties of the media to optimize performance with a specific magnetic head-system.

The surface roughness of the magnetic layer may be in the range 0.1 nm – 6 nm which overlaps the range of claims 5-8.

The limitations of claims 2, 9-11 have not been given weight since they are directed to an intended use.

The limitations of claims 3 and 4 have not been given weight since these are process limitations. Process limitations cannot be given weight in article claims unless they can be shown to produce a patentably distinct article.

It has been held that where claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or in composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes a case of

Application/Control Number: 10/829,283

**Art Unit: 1773** 

anticipation or a prima facie case of obviousness has been established and the burden of proof is shifted to applicant to show that prior art products do not necessarily or inherently possess the characteristic of a claimed product whether the rejection is based upon "inherency" under 35 USC 102 or on "prima facie obviousness" under 35 USC 103 jointly or alternately. In re Best 562 F2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977); In re Ludke, 58 CCPA 1159,441 F 2d at 212-13, 169 USPQ 563 (1971); In re Brown, 59 CCPA 1036, 459 F. 2d 531, 173 USPQ 685 (1972).

"When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not". In re Spada. 911 F2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ 2d 1655 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Deno et al US 6120877 is cited for teaching the narrowing of the distribution of protrusion height of protrusions from the plane of a magnetic layer of a magnetic recording medium.

Murayama et al US 6773789 is cited for teaching a magnetic recording medium having a magnetic layer wherein the number of

Application/Control Number: 10/829,283 Page 5

**Art Unit: 1773** 

protrusions having a height in the range of 10 nm to 20 nm projecting from the magnetic layer is limited.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stevan A. Resan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1513. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fri from 7:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

STEVAN A. RESAN